

**No. ID/RTK/80-83/45392.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Rampat and the management of M/s Murarka Engineering works Bahadurgarh (Rohtak), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government Notification No. 3864-ASO (B) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573 dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rampat was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/FD/102-83/45399.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Ekbal Parkash and the management of M/s. Berry Sons (India) Pvt. Ltd., 1/45 DLF Industrial Estate 14th Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with constification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Ekbal Parkash was justified and in order? if not, to what relief is he entitled

**No. ID/RTK/101-83/45413.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Gopal Krishan Sharma and the management of M/s Somany Pilkingtons Ltd., Kassar, Bahadurgarh, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Gopal Krishan Sharma was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/RTK/106-83/45420.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Jai Bhagwan and the management of M/s Bee Coc Steel Rolling Mills, M.I.E., Bahadurgarh (Rohtak), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO (B) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jai Bhagwan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/RTK/116-83/45434.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rama Shankar and the management of M/s. Somany Pilkingtons Ltd., Kassar Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO-(E)/Lab/70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rama Shankar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled.